

ISSAI 400

The International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions, ISSAI, are issued by the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions, INTOSAI. For more information visit [www.issai.org](http://www.issai.org)

INTOSAI



# *Reporting standards in Government Auditing*

INTOSAI PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS COMMITTEE

---

PSC-SECRETARIAT

RIGSREVISIONEN • LANDGREVEN 4 • P.O. Box 9009 • 1022 COPENHAGEN K • DENMARK  
TEL.: +45 3392 8400 • FAX: +45 3311 0415 • E-MAIL: INFO@RIGSREVISIONEN.DK

# INTOSAI



INTOSAI General Secretariat - RECHNUNGSHOF  
(Austrian Court of Audit)  
DAMPFSCHIFFSTRASSE 2  
A-1033 VIENNA  
AUSTRIA

Tel.: ++43 (1) 711 71 • Fax: ++43 (1) 718 09 69

E-MAIL: [intosai@rechnungshof.gv.at](mailto:intosai@rechnungshof.gv.at);  
WORLD WIDE WEB: <http://www.intosai.org>

1. It is not practical to lay down a rule for reporting on every special situation. This standard is to assist and not to supersede the prudent judgement of the auditor in making an opinion or report.
2. The expression "reporting" embraces both the auditor's opinion and other remarks on a set of financial statements as a result of a regularity (financial) audit and the auditor's report on completion of a performance audit.
3. The auditor's opinion on a set of financial statements is generally in a concise, standardised format which reflects the results of a wide range of tests and other audit work. There is often a requirement to report as to the compliance of transactions with laws and regulations and to report on matters such as inadequate systems of control, illegal acts and fraud. In some countries, constitutional or statutory obligations may require the SAI to report specifically on the execution of budgetary laws, reconciling budgetary estimates and authorisation to the results set out in the financial statements.
4. In a performance audit, the auditor reports on the economy and efficiency with which resources are acquired and used, and the effectiveness with which objectives are met. Such reports may vary considerably in scope and nature, for example covering whether resources have been applied in a sound manner, commenting on the impact of policies and programs and recommending changes designed to result in improvements.
5. In order to recognise reasonable user needs, the auditor's report in both regularity and performance auditing may need to have regard to expanded reporting periods or cycles and relevant and appropriate disclosure requirements.
6. For ease of reference in this chapter, the word "opinion" is used to mean the auditor's conclusions as a result of a regularity (financial) audit, and may embrace the matters described in paragraph 3; the word "report" is used to mean the auditor's conclusions following a performance audit, as described in paragraph 4.
7. The reporting standards are
  - (a) At the end of each audit the auditor should prepare a written opinion or report, as appropriate, setting out the findings in an appropriate form; its content should be easy to understand and free from vagueness or ambiguity, include only information which is supported by competent and relevant audit evidence, and be independent, objective, fair and constructive.
  - (b) It is for the SAI to which they belong to decide finally on the action to be taken in relation to fraudulent practices or serious irregularities discovered by the auditors.

With regard to regularity audits, the auditor should prepare a written report, which may either be a part of the report on the financial statements or a separate report, on the tests of compliance with applicable laws and regulations. The report should contain a statement of positive assurance on those items tested for compliance and negative assurance on those items not tested.

With regard to performance audits, the report should include all significant instances of non-compliance that are pertinent to the audit objectives.

The following paragraphs explain reporting as an auditing standard. Paragraph 8 relates both to opinions and reports, paragraphs 9 - 20 relate to opinions and paragraphs 21 - 26 to reports.

8. The form and content of all audit opinions and reports are founded on the following general principles:
  - (a) Title. The opinion or report should be preceded by a suitable title or heading, helping the reader to distinguish it from statements and information issued by others.
  - (b) Signature and date. The opinion or report should be properly signed. The inclusion of a date informs the reader that consideration has been given to the effect of events or transactions about which the auditor became aware up to that date (which, in the case of regularity (financial) audits, may be beyond the period of the financial statements).
  - (c) Objectives and scope. The opinion or report should include reference to the objectives and scope of the audit. This information establishes the purpose and boundaries of the audit.
  - (d) Completeness. Opinions should be appended to and published with the financial statements to which

they relate, but performance reports may be free standing. The auditor's opinions and reports should be presented as prepared by the auditor. In exercising its independence the SAI should be able to include whatever it sees fit, but it may acquire information from time to time which in the national interest cannot be freely disclosed. This can affect the completeness of the audit report. In this situation the auditor retains a responsibility for considering the need to make a report, possibly including confidential or sensitive material in a separate, unpublished report.

- (e) Addressee. The opinion or report should identify those to whom it is addressed, as required by the circumstances of the audit engagement and local regulations or practice. This may be unnecessary where formal procedures exist for its delivery.
- (f) Identification of subject matter. The opinion or report should identify the financial statements (in the case of regularity (financial) audits) or area (in the case of performance audits) to which it relates. This includes information such as the name of the audited entity, the date and period covered by the financial statements and the subject matter that has been audited.
- (g) Legal basis. Audit opinions and reports should identify the legislation or other authority providing for the audit.
- (h) Compliance with standards. Audit opinions and reports should indicate the auditing standards or practices followed in conducting the audit, thus providing the reader with an assurance that the audit has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted procedures.
- (i) Timeliness. The audit opinion or report should be available promptly to be of greatest use to readers and users, particularly those who have to take necessary action.

9. An audit opinion is normally in a standard format, relating to the financial statements as a whole, thus avoiding the need to state at length what lies behind it but conveying by its nature a general understanding among readers as to its meaning. The nature of these words will be influenced by the legal framework for the audit, but the content of the opinion will need to indicate unambiguously whether it is unqualified or qualified and, if the latter, whether it is qualified in certain respects or is adverse (paragraph 14) or a disclaimer (paragraph 15) of opinion.

10. An unqualified opinion is given when the auditor is satisfied in all material respects that:

- (a) the financial statements have been prepared using acceptable accounting bases and policies which have been consistently applied;
- (b) the statements comply with statutory requirements and relevant regulations;
- (c) the view presented by the financial statements is consistent with the auditor's knowledge of the audited entity; and
- (d) there is adequate disclosure of all material matters relevant to the financial statements.

11. **Emphasis of Matter.** In certain circumstances the auditor may consider that the reader will not obtain a proper understanding of the financial statements unless attention is drawn to unusual or important matters. As a general principle the auditor issuing an unqualified opinion does not make reference to specific aspects of the financial statements in the opinion in case this should be misconstrued as being a qualification. In order to avoid giving that impression, references which are meant as "emphasis of matter" are contained in a separate paragraph from the opinion. However, the auditor should not make use of an emphasis of matter to rectify a lack of appropriate disclosure in the financial statements, nor as an alternative to, or a substitute for, qualifying the opinion.

12. An auditor may not be able to express an unqualified opinion when any of the following circumstances exist and, in the auditor's judgement, their effect is or may be material to the financial statements:

- (a) there has been limitation on the scope of the audit;
- (b) the auditor considers that the statements are incomplete or misleading or there is an unjustified departure from acceptable accounting standards; or

(c) there is uncertainty affecting the financial statements.

13. **Qualified Opinion.** Where the auditor disagrees with or is uncertain about one or more particular items in the financial statements which are material but not fundamental to an understanding of the statements, a qualified opinion should be given. The wording of the opinion normally indicates a satisfactory outcome to the audit subject to a clear and concise statement of the matters of disagreement or uncertainty giving rise to the qualified opinion. It helps the users of the statements if the financial effect of the uncertainty or disagreement is quantified by the auditor although this is not always practicable or relevant.

14. **Adverse Opinion.** Where the auditor is unable to form an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole due to disagreement which is so fundamental that it undermines the position presented to the extent that an opinion which is qualified in certain respects would not be adequate, an adverse opinion is given. The wording of such an opinion makes clear that the financial statements are not fairly stated, specifying clearly and concisely all the matters of disagreement. Again, it is helpful if the financial effect on the financial statements is quantified where relevant and practicable.

15. **Disclaimer of Opinion.** Where the auditor is unable to arrive at an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole due to an uncertainty or scope restriction which is so fundamental that an opinion which is qualified in certain respects would not be adequate, a disclaimer is given. The wording of such a disclaimer makes clear that an opinion cannot be given, specifying clearly and concisely all matters of uncertainty.

16. It is customary for SAIs to provide a detailed report amplifying the opinion in circumstances in which it has been unable to give an unqualified opinion.

17. In addition, regularity audits often require that reports are made where weaknesses exist in systems of financial control or accounting (as distinct from performance audit aspects). This may occur not only where weaknesses affect the audited entity's own procedures but also where they relate to its control over the activities of others. The auditor should also report on significant irregularities, whether perceived or potential, on inconsistency of application of regulations or on fraud and corrupt practices.

18. SAIs which have a jurisdictional statute have the ability to take action on certain irregularities discovered in financial statements. They may be authorized to reconcile the accounts prepared by the accountants and impose fines with regard to accountants, and in certain circumstances can cause their suspension or dismissal.

19. In reporting on irregularities or instances of non compliance with laws or regulations, the auditors should be careful to place their findings in the proper perspective. The extent of non-compliance can be related to the number of cases examined or quantified monetarily.

20. Reports on irregularities may be prepared irrespective of a qualification of the auditor's opinion. By their nature they tend to contain significant criticisms, but in order to be constructive they should also address future remedial action by incorporating statements by the audited entity or by the auditor, including conclusions or recommendations.

21. In contrast to regularity audit, which is subject to fairly specific requirements and expectations, performance audit is wide-ranging in nature and is more open to judgement and interpretation; coverage is also more selective and may be carried out over a cycle of several years, rather than in one financial period; and it does not normally relate to particular financial or other statements. As a consequence performance audit reports are varied and contain more discussion and reasoned argument.

22. The performance audit report should state clearly the objectives and scope of the audit. Reports may include criticism (for example where, in the public interest or on grounds of public accountability, matters of serious waste, extravagance or inefficiency are drawn to attention) or may make no significant criticism but give independent information, advice or assurance as to whether and to what extent economy, efficiency and effectiveness are being or have been achieved.

23. The auditor is not normally expected to provide an overall opinion on the achievement of economy, efficiency and effectiveness by an audited entity in the same way as the opinion on financial statements. Where the nature of the audit allows this to be done in relation to specific areas of an entity's activities, the auditor should provide a report which describes the circumstances and arrives at a specific conclusion rather than a standardised statement. Where the audit is confined to consideration of whether sufficient controls exist to secure economy, efficiency or effectiveness, the auditor may provide a more general opinion.

24. Auditors should recognise that their judgements are being applied to actions resulting from past management decisions. Care should therefore be exercised in making such judgements, and the report should indicate the nature and extent of information reasonably available (or which ought to have been available) to the audited entity at the time the decisions were taken. By stating clearly the scope, objectives and findings of the audit, the report demonstrates to the reader that the auditor is being fair. Fairness also implies the presentation of weaknesses or critical findings in such a way as to encourage correction, and to improve systems and guidance within the audited entity. Accordingly the facts are generally agreed with the audited entity in order to ensure that they are complete, accurate and fairly presented in the audit report. There may also be a need to include the audited entity's responses to the matters raised, either verbatim or in summary, especially where the SAI presents its own views or recommendations.

25. Performance reports should not concentrate solely on criticism of the past but should be constructive. The auditor's conclusions and recommendations are an important aspect of the audit and, where appropriate, are written as a guide for action. Generally these recommendations suggest what improvements are needed rather than how to achieve them, though circumstances sometimes arise which warrant a specific recommendation, for example to correct a defect in the law in order to bring about an administrative improvement.

26. In formulating and following up recommendations, the auditor should maintain objectivity and independence and thus focus on whether identified weaknesses are corrected rather than on whether specific recommendations are adopted.

27. In formulating the audit opinion or report, the auditor should have regard to the materiality of the matter in the context of the financial statements (regularity (financial) audit) or the nature of the audited entity or activity (performance audit).

28. For regularity (financial) audits, if the auditor concludes that, judged against the criteria most appropriate in the circumstances, the matter does not materially affect the view given by the financial statements, the opinion should not be qualified. Where the auditor decides that a matter is material the opinion should be qualified, having determined the type of qualification (paragraphs 12 - 15).

29. In the case of performance audits that judgement will be more subjective as the report does not relate so directly to financial or other statements. Consequently the auditor may find that materiality by nature or by context is a more important consideration than materiality by amount.